1	TARA K. McGRATH United States Attorney		
2	ERNEST CORDERO, JR. Assistant U.S. Attorney		
3	California Bar No. 131865 ERIN M. DIMBLEBY		
4	Assistant U.S. Attorney California Bar No. 323359		
5	Office of the U.S. Attorney 880 Front Street, Room 6293		
6	San Diego, CA 92101-8893 Tel: (619) 546-7478/6987		
7	Fax: (619) 546-7/51		
8	Email: ernest.cordero@usdoj.gov Email: erin.dimbleby@usdoj.gov		
9	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
10	CIVILED STATES OF AWIERCEA		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13			
14	THE ESTATE OF JOEL REYES	Case No.: 23-cv-1422-JES-DEB	
15	MUNOZ by and through its successor in interest LETICIA DURAN		
16	MARTINEZ; LETICIA DURAN MARTINEZ, in her own right; BRIAN	DEFENDANT UNITED STATES' ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT	
17	MARTINEZ, in her own right; BRIAN JOEL REYES DURAN; and A.Y.R.D., a minor, by his mother and guardian, LETICIA DURAN MARTINEZ,	ANSWER TO THE COMPLAINT	
18	Plaintiffs,		
19	v.		
20	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,		
21			
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25	Th United States of America (Defendant) answers Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:		
26	INTRODUCTION		
27	The allegations contained in Plaintiffs' "Introduction" constitute argument to which		
28	no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the		

allegations.

 $2 \parallel$

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

5

1. Answering Paragraph 1, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.

2. Answering Paragraph 2, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.

3. Answering Paragraph 3, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or argument to which no response is required. To the extent a response a deemed required, Defendant admits that venue is proper in this District.

4. Answering Paragraph 4, Defendant admits Plaintiffs filed an administrative claim. The remainder of the allegations in Paragraph 4 are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations.

5. Answering Paragraph 5, Defendant admits that Plaintiffs' administrative claim was denied by letter dated April 10, 2023.

6. Answering Paragraph 6, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.

PARTIES

7. Answering Paragraph 7, Defendant realleges its responses to all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

8. Answering Paragraph 8, Defendant admits that the named individuals are Plaintiffs in this case. Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained Paragraph 8, and on that basis denies them.

9. Answering Paragraph 9, Defendant admits that the Department of Homeland Security is an agency of the United States of America and that U.S. Customs and Border

Protection is an agency within the Department of Homeland Security. Defendant further admits that the Department of Homeland Security and U.S. Customs and Border Protection operate in San Diego County, California. Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations against the DOE defendants contained therein, and on that basis denies them.

- 10. Answering Paragraph 10, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14, Defendant admits that decedent Joel Reyes Munoz died. Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained Paragraph 14, and on that basis denies them.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18, Defendant asserts that the Paragraph does not contain charging allegations against Defendant, and on that basis does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied.
- 19. Answering Paragraph 19, Defendant asserts that the Paragraph does not contain charging allegations against Defendant, and on that basis does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, the allegations are denied.

₂₈ || /

- 20. Answering Paragraph 20, Defendant admits that Joel Reyes Munoz died on January 12, 2022. Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained Paragraph 20, and on that basis denies them.
- 21. Answering Paragraph 21, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and on that basis denies them.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

- 22. Answering Paragraph 22, Defendant realleges its responses to all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 23. Answering Paragraph 23, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 24. Answering Paragraph 24, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 25. Answering Paragraph 25, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 27. Answering Paragraph 27, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 28. Answering Paragraph 28, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

BANE ACT

This cause of action was dismissed against Defendant [ECF No. 8]. Therefore, no response to Paragraphs 29-35 is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies all allegations set forth in Paragraphs 29-35.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

WRONGFUL DEATH

- 36. Answering Paragraph 36, Defendant realleges its responses to all prior paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 37. Answering Paragraph 37, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.
- 38. Answering Paragraph 38, Defendant asserts that the allegations contained therein are legal conclusions and/or arguments to which no response is required. To the extent such allegations contain alleged facts, Defendant denies said allegations.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

In response to the paragraphs in Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, no answer is necessary. To the extent those paragraphs require an answer, Defendant denies generally and specifically, each, all, and every allegation in these paragraphs and asserts that Plaintiffs should take nothing in this suit.

AFFIRMATIVE AND OTHER DEFENSES

- 1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiffs are proportionately barred from recovery by their or decedent's comparative fault.
- 3. To the extent the acts or omissions of others were the sole proximate causes of any injury, damage, or loss to the Plaintiffs, those acts and omissions have superseded any acts or omissions of Defendant.

- 4. The liability of Defendant, if any, and responsible parties, named or unnamed, if any, should be apportioned according to their respective degrees of fault, and any liability should be reduced accordingly.
- 5. Defendant asserts, as an affirmative defense, California Civil Code § 1431.2(a), which provides:

In any action for personal injury, property damages, or wrongful death, based upon principles of comparative fault, the liability of each defendant for non-economic damages shall be several only and shall not be joint. Each defendant shall be liable only for the amount of non-economic damages allocated to that defendant in direct proportion to that defendant's percentage of fault, and a separate judgment shall be rendered against that defendant for that amount.

- 6. To the extent Plaintiffs failed to exercise reasonable care in mitigating their damages, their claims must be barred or diminished.
- 7. In the event Defendant is found liable, which Defendant expressly denies, Defendant is entitled to an offset against damages, if any, for all amounts received by Plaintiffs from any other source for their alleged injuries.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that Plaintiffs take nothing by their Complaint, that this action be dismissed in its entirety, for Defendant's costs of suit incurred herein, and for such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: February 27, 2024	Resp
--------------------------	------

Respectfully submitted,

TARA K. McGRATH United States Attorney

/s/ Erin M. Dimbleby
ERIN M. DIMBLEBY
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/ Ernest Cordero, Jr.
ERNEST CORDERO, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for Defendant United States of America