1	ISMAIL J. RAMSEY (CABN 189820) United States Attorney		
2	MICHELLE LO (NYRN 4325163)		
3	Chief, Civil Division MICHAEL A. KEOUGH (NYRN 5199666) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36045 San Francisco, California 94102		
6	Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 michael.keough@usdoj.gov		
7 8 9	Attorneys for Defendants U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURI	and TY	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
13 14	AMERICAN IMMIGRATION COUNCIL and CENTER FOR GENDER AND REFUGEE STUDIES,) No. 3:23-CV-05270-SK)	
15	Plaintiffs,) ANSWER	
16	v.		
17 18	U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY,)))	
19	Defendants.		
20 21	Defendants U.S. Customs and Border Prot	ection and U.S. Department of Homeland Security	
22	("Defendants"), by and through counsel, respectfully respond to the Complaint filed by plaintiffs		
23	American Immigration Council and Center for Gender and Refugee Studies ("Plaintiffs"), ECF No.		
24 25	("Complaint"), as follows:1		
26			
27 28	The headings and numbered paragraphs of this Answer correspond to the headings and numbered paragraphs of the Complaint. Defendants do not waive any defensive theory or agree to or admit that Plaintiff's headings are accurate, appropriate, or substantiated.		
	DEFENDANTS' ANSWER		

No. 3:23-CV-05270-SK

<u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1. Defendants admit that U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") is a component of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security ("DHS"). The remaining allegations of this paragraph constitute Plaintiffs' characterization of this action brought pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") and their reasons for bringing this action, to which no response is required. To the extent any further response is required, Defendants admit that Plaintiffs purport to bring this action under FOIA. Defendants deny the remaining allegations.
- 2. Defendants admit that beginning on May 12, 2023, noncitizens without documents sufficient for admission to the United States were able to use CBP One to schedule an appointment for inspection at certain ports of entry. The remaining allegations in this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 3. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 4. Defendants admit only that Plaintiffs submitted a FOIA request to CBP on July 11, 2023. The remaining allegations of this paragraph contain Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 5. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the referenced FOIA request as the best evidence of its contents.
- 6. Defendants admit that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint. Defendants aver that CBP sent a notice on July 12, 2023, denying Plaintiff's request for expedited processing.
- 7. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.
- 8. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the Complaint as the best evidence of its contents.

JURISDICTION

- 9. This paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 10. This paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required.
 To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of
 FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 11. This paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.

VENUE

12. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

13. Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.

PARTIES

- 14. This paragraph constitutes Plaintiffs' characterization of their respective organizations, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.
- 15. This paragraph constitutes Plaintiffs' characterization of their respective organizations, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants lack knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and on that basis deny them.
- 16. Defendants admit that DHS is an agency of the United States government and respectfully directs the Court to 5 U.S.C. § 552(f). Defendants deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.

- 17. Defendants admit that CBP is a branch of DHS. The remainder of this paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to 5 U.S.C. § 552(f) and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 18. This paragraph constitutes Plaintiff's legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of the Immigration and Nationality Act and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 19. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 20. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 21. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 22. This paragraph constitutes Plaintiff's legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully directs the Court to 8 U.S.C. § 1225(a)(3), 1182(a), 1158(a)(1), 1225(b)(1), 1229, and 1229a and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 23. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
- 24. Defendants admit only that the government terminated the implementation of the Title 42 restrictions on May 11, 2023, and to the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
 - 25. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to

which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

- 26. This paragraph and corresponding footnote 1 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
- 27. This paragraph and corresponding footnotes 2 and 3 contain Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
- 28. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
- 29. This paragraph contains Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendants deny the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
- 30. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the referenced FOIA request as the best evidence of its contents.
- 31. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the referenced FOIA request as the best evidence of its contents.
- 32. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the referenced FOIA request as the best evidence of its contents.
- 33. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph, and respectfully refer the Court to the referenced FOIA request as the best evidence of its contents.
 - 34. Defendants admit the allegations set forth in this paragraph.
- 35. Defendants aver that CBP sent a notice on July 12, 2023, denying Plaintiffs' request for an expedited processing. Defendants also aver that CBP sent a notice on July 12, 2023, responding to Plaintiffs' request for a fee waiver as "Not Applicable."
- 36. Defendants admit only that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint, and to the extent any further response is required, deny the

- 37. Defendants admit only that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 38. This paragraph constitutes Plaintiffs' legal conclusions and Plaintiffs' characterization of purported factual background to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 39. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 38.
- 40. This paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required. To the extent any response is required, Defendants respectfully direct the Court to the provisions of FOIA and deny all allegations inconsistent therewith.
- 41. Defendants admit only that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint, and to the extent any further response is required, deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 42. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 38.
- 43. Defendants admit only that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint, and to the extent any further response is required, deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 44. Defendants incorporate by reference their responses to Paragraphs 1 through 38.
- 45. Defendants admit only that CBP has not issued a final response to Plaintiffs' FOIA request as of the filing of the Complaint, and to the extent any further response is required, deny the remaining allegations in this paragraph.
 - 46. This paragraph consists of Plaintiffs' legal conclusions, to which no response is required.

request may be exempt in whole or part from public disclosure under the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b), and

1	the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, et seq.		
2	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
3	The Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over any requested relief that exceeds the relief		
4	authorized by	y FOIA.	
5		FOURTH AFFIR	RMATIVE DEFENSE
6	Plaintiffs have not alleged sufficient factual and/or legal bases for its request for attorneys' fee		
7	and/or costs.		
8		FIFTH AFFIRM	MATIVE DEFENSE
9	The Complaint raises claims that are or will become moot.		
10	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
11	WHE	EREFORE, Defendants pray that:	
12	1.	Plaintiff takes nothing by its Comp	laint;
13	2.	The Complaint be dismissed with p	prejudice;
14	3.	Judgment be entered in favor of De	efendants;
15	4. Defendants be awarded their costs of suit;		
16	5.	The Court award such other and fu	rther relief as it may deem proper.
17			
18	DATED: De	cember 15, 2023	Respectfully submitted,
19			ISMAIL J. RAMSEY United States Attorney
20			/s/ Michael A. Keough
21			MICHAEL A. KEOUGH Assistant United States Attorney
22			Attorneys for Defendant
23			U.S. CUSTOMS AND BORDER PROTECTION and U.S. DEPARTMENT OF
24			HOMELAND SECURITY
25			
26			
27			
28			