1 2 3	Michael R. Marrinan, Esq. (SBN 90484) Law Offices of Michael R. Marrinan 501 W. Broadway, Suite 1510 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-6900							
	E-Mail: mrmarrinan@aol.com							
5	Joseph M. McMullen, Esq. (SBN 246757) LAW OFFICES OF JOSEPH M. McMULLEN							
6	501 W. Broadway, Suite 1510 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 501-2000							
7	Facsimile: (619) 615-2264 E-Mail: joe@jmm-legal.com							
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Janine Bouey							
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
11	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
12	JANINE BOUEY,	Case No.						
13 14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL						
15	V.	1. Negligence (FTCA)						
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and CBP OFFICER JANE DOE.	2. Battery (FTCA)						
17	Defendants.	3. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (FTCA)						
18 19		4. Bane Act – Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1 / Article I, Section 13 of the California Constitution (FTCA)						
20		, , ,						
21		5. Fourth Amendment Unreasonable Force / Invasion of Bodily Integrity ( <i>Bivens</i> )						
22								
23								
24	COMES NOW Plaintiff, JANINE BO	OUEY (hereinafter referred to as "Ms. Bouey						
25	or "Plaintiff"), for causes of action against of	defendants UNITED STATES OF AMERICA						

or "Plaintiff"), for causes of action against defendants UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ("United States") and CBP OFFICER JANE DOE ("Defendant Doe"), whose true name and identity is currently unknown, and herein alleges, based on information and belief:

### 

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. This Complaint is for damages based on tort violations committed by employees of the United States acting under color of law and within the scope of their employment and in violation of the Federal Tort Claims Act and California law.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1346(b), because Plaintiff's claims arise under the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2671 *et seq.*, involving violations of California tort law.
- 3. Plaintiff exhausted the administrative remedies prescribed by 28 U.S.C. § 2675 by timely presenting the Federal Tort Claims Act ("FTCA") claims to the appropriate agencies of the United States on June 9, 2021. In a letter sent via certified mail on December 15, 2021, United States Customs and Border Protection denied the claim in full.
- 4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(2) and 1391(e)(1)(B), because this is the judicial district in which the events and omissions giving rise to the claims occurred.

#### **PARTIES**

- 5. At the time of the incident, Janine Bouey ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Bouey") was a 60-year-old retired Los Angeles Police Department officer and U.S. Army veteran residing at her home in Los Angeles.
- 6. CBP OFFICER JANE DOE ("Defendant Doe"), whose true name is unknown at this time, is and was at all relevant times employed by Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA as a United States Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") law enforcement officer. At all times described in this Complaint, Defendant Doe was acting as a sworn law enforcement or peace officer, agent, servant, or employee of the United States, and under color of legal authority. Plaintiff sues Defendant Doe in her individual capacity.
- 7. Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ("United States") is a sovereign nation that has waived its sovereign immunity for the claims that Plaintiff

asserts against it. At all relevant times, Defendant United States was the government entity that controlled, directed, and otherwise oversaw United States Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") and employed the law enforcement officers whose true names are unknown but are referred to in this Complaint as CBP Officer Jane Doe ("Defendant Doe"), CBP Officer 1 and/or La Pierre, CBP Officer 2, and CBP Officer 3 and other involved CBP officers, each of whom were acting under color of law and within the course and scope of their employment with respect to the conduct about which Plaintiff complains.

#### **FACTS**

- 8. On June 16, 2020, Ms. Bouey was a 60-year-old retired Los Angeles Police Department officer and U.S. Army veteran residing at her home in Los Angeles. That morning, she travelled to a dental appointment in Tijuana, Baja California, Mexico and then crossed back into the United States via the pedestrian lanes at the Otay Mesa Port of Entry ("OMPOE").
- 9. While she waited in line to reach the pedestrian primary inspection booth, Ms. Bouey was approached by a male African-American United States Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") officer with the last name La Pierre or Le Pierre (hereinafter "CBP Officer 1" or "La Pierre") who requested her passport and asked her questions about where she had been in Mexico and where she was heading in the U.S. Ms. Bouey responded that she had been at her dentist's office that morning and was returning to her home in Los Angeles.
- 10. La Pierre's tone was flirtatious and pushy, and he pressed Ms. Bouey to give him her home address. Ms. Bouey refused and told him that she did not think it was necessary for her to give him her home address. La Pierre responded by pulling Ms. Bouey out of line and taking her into the main building at the OMPOE.
- 11. After entering the building, Ms. Bouey was made to spread her legs with her hands against the wall as a female Caucasian CBP officer (hereinafter, "CBP OFFICER JANE DOE" or "Defendant Doe") intentionally sexually groped Ms. Bouey by using her

fingers to feel and intentionally penetrate Ms. Bouey's genitalia over her clothes.

- 12. Ms. Bouey was then put in a holding pen with other people.
- 13. Ms. Bouey, who is African-American, was asked about her citizenship multiple times by a CBP officer holding her U.S. passport, and Ms. Bouey repeated several times that she was a U.S. citizen. She also told the CBP officer that if they ran her information they could confirm that she was a retired LAPD officer. Ms. Bouey heard someone say that they did "not have to (expletive) run anyone."
- 14. Ms. Bouey observed La Pierre talking to a CBP dog handler ("CBP Officer 2") with his dog. CBP Officer 2 brought the dog into the pen and Ms. Bouey was forced to turn around and spread her legs again.
- 15. Ms. Bouey suddenly felt the dogs head pushed into her buttocks by CBP Officer 2. When she turned her head to see what was happening, someone yelled at Ms. Bouey to turn around. While this was going on, Ms. Bouey saw La Pierre glaring at her.
- 16. Ms. Bouey was taken into another part of the building and Defendant Doe then, without provocation or any lawful justification, intentionally sexually groped Ms. Bouey by grabbing Ms. Bouey's crotch from behind and fondling and intentionally penetrating her genitalia again.
- 17. Ms. Bouey was then handcuffed and shackled to a bench. Several times she asked what she was being arrested for and she asked to call an attorney, but her purse had been taken into a back room and she was not allowed to call an attorney.
- 18. Defendant Doe and another female CBP officer (hereinafter, "CBP Officer 3") unshackled Ms. Bouey from the bench and took her into another room. They told Ms. Bouey she had to strip down to her bra and underwear.
- 19. Before complying, Ms. Bouey noticed that the door to the room was propped open a few inches with an object on the floor. Ms. Bouey told Defendant Doe and CBP Officer 3 that she did not feel comfortable disrobing with the door open because she could hear people outside the door.
  - 20. One of the female CBP officers moved toward the door but stopped abruptly

when it appeared as if she made eye contact with someone outside the partially opened door. Without closing the door, Defendant Doe and CBP Officer 3 forced Ms. Bouey to completely disrobe, including her underwear.

- 21. Defendant Doe and CBP Officer 3 then required Ms. Bouey to turn around, bend over and squat, while one of the officers shined a flashlight into her vaginal area from behind.
- 22. Ms. Bouey was forced by Defendant Doe and CBP Officer 3 to continue to bend over and squat several times before finally being allowed to put her clothes back on.
- 23. Trembling, terrified, humiliated, and degraded, Ms. Bouey was handcuffed and shackled to the bench again.
- 24. Another younger African-American officer arrived and instructed a CBP officer to uncuff Ms. Bouey, and she was told she was being let go.
- 25. Ms. Bouey asked the younger African-American officer how he would feel if his mother had been subjected to the abuse that she had just endured for the last three hours. He responded, "These things happen."
- 26. Ms. Bouey, a 60-year-old African-American United States Citizen, resident of Southern California, U.S. Army veteran, and retired Los Angeles Police Department officer, did not have any drugs, pain medication, contraband, or arrest warrants that day.
- 27. Ms. Bouey was the person in the photos of her valid U.S. passport, her valid U.S. passport card, and her valid California driver's license, all of which she had on her person or in her purse. Also in her purse was one of her recent Police & Fire Pension pay stubs, as well as paperwork showing she had been at the dentist in Tijuana for a root canal that morning.
- 28. Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, and CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers had no reasonable basis to sexually assault, humiliate, and degrade Ms. Bouey, or to strip her of her liberty and dignity for no other reason than that "these things happen."

#### **DAMAGES**

- 29. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid acts, omissions, and decisions of Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, Plaintiff suffered and/or will continue to suffer great mental and physical pain, suffering, anguish, fright, nervousness, anxiety, shock, humiliation, indignity, embarrassment, and apprehension, which have caused Plaintiff to sustain damages in a sum to be determined at trial.
- 30. Ms. Bouey suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the actions of Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers. Ms. Bouey cannot help but frequently relive being sexually assaulted, humiliated, and degraded as a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers. She suffers severe anxiety and ongoing psychological trauma as a result of their actions.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### **Negligence (FTCA)**

#### (against Defendant United States of America)

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Complaint.
- 32. Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, had a duty to use reasonable care in interacting with a citizen, had a duty to use reasonable care in performing a search, had a duty to use reasonable care in performing a detention, and had a duty to refrain from using any force that was not objectively reasonable under the totality of the circumstances. Defendant United States, by and through its employees, had a duty to avoid needlessly and negligently harming Plaintiff during an arrest.
- 33. Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, breached all these duties by the conduct alleged above in paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint.

- 34. At all times relevant to this action, Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers were federal employees acting in their official capacity within the scope of their employment as law enforcement officers for CBP, an agency of Defendant United States.
- 35. The actions of Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, were a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff, and she suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. By the acts alleged above, Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, was negligent and breached its duty of due care owed to Plaintiff, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer physical and emotional harm as set forth above, for which Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2671 *et seq.*

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **Battery (FTCA)**

#### (against Defendant United States of America)

- 37. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 36 of this Complaint.
- 38. By the acts alleged above, particularly the acts of unreasonable force used upon Plaintiff without her consent, Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, committed batteries upon Plaintiff, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer physical and emotional harm as set for above, for which Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2671 *et seq*.

#### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (FTCA)

(against Defendant United States of America)

	39.	Plainti	ff repe	ats and	re-allege	s each	alle	gation	contain	ed i	n j	parag	graphs	1
throu	gh 38 c	of this C	Compla	int.										
	40	By the	acte	allagad	abova	Dafan	dant	Unita	d Stata	c h	<b>T</b> 7	and	through	αh

- 40. By the acts alleged above, Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, engaged in extreme and outrageous conduct that transcended the bounds of human decency. For example, Defendant Doe intentionally grabbed Plaintiff's crotch and fondled and penetrated her genitalia without consent or justification.
- 41. Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, intended to cause, and did cause, Plaintiff to experience severe emotional distress and each acted with a reckless disregard of the probability that Plaintiff would suffer severe emotional distress.
- 42. Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers acted in their official capacity and in the scope of their employment as CBP officers of Defendant United States.
- 43. Plaintiff suffered severe emotional distress, and the conduct of Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe, CBP Officer 1, CBP Officer 2, CBP Officer 3, and other involved CBP officers, was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's severe emotional distress, for which Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2671 *et seq*.

#### FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Bane Act – California Civil Code § 52.1 / Article I, Section 13 of the California Constitution (FTCA)

#### (against Defendant United States of America)

- 44. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 43 of this Complaint.
- 45. Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe's conduct repeatedly and intentionally sexually groping Plaintiff by grabbing Plaintiff's crotch and fondling and penetrating her genitalia without consent or justification, interfered by

threats, intimidation, and coercion, and attempted to interfere by threats, intimidation, and coercion, with the exercise and enjoyment of Plaintiff's rights as secured by Article I, Section 13 of the California Constitution.

- 46. Defendant United States, by and through Defendant Doe's conduct described above, intended to deprive Plaintiff of her enjoyment of the rights and interests protected by the California Constitution.
- 47. Defendant Doe, and thereby Defendant United States, acted in her official capacity and in the scope of her employment as a CBP officer of Defendant United States.
- 48. The actions of Defendant Doe, and thereby the actions of Defendant United States, were a substantial factor in causing harm to Plaintiff as set forth above, and she suffered damages for which Plaintiff is entitled to monetary relief pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2671 *et seq*.

#### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## Fourth Amendment Unreasonable Force / Intrusion of Bodily Integrity (*Bivens*) (against Defendant Doe)

- 49. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 48 of this Complaint.
- 50. As a result of the acts alleged above, Defendant CBP Officer Jane Doe ("Defendant Doe") used unreasonable, unjustified, and excessive force upon Plaintiff and intruded on Plaintiff's bodily integrity. This unreasonable and excessive use of force and intrusion on Plaintiff's bodily integrity constituted an unlawful search and seizure in violation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights as guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Violations of Plaintiff's Fourth Amendment rights by Defendant Doe give rise to a cause of action for damages against her in her individual capacity pursuant to *Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971).
- 51. As a proximate result of the acts alleged above, Plaintiff was injured in mind and body, and sustained injuries and damages alleged above. Plaintiff is therefore entitled

to general and compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

- 52. In committing the acts alleged above, Defendant Doe acted maliciously and/or was guilty of a wanton and reckless disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff, and by reason thereof Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages, awarded against Defendant Doe only, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 53. Defendant Doe's intrusion on Plaintiff's bodily integrity was neither accidental nor of a *de minimis* nature. Rather, Defendant Doe engaged in the intentional and purposeful sexual physical predation of Plaintiff, in a manner that was gratuitously violent.
- 54. The above acts by Defendant Doe had no justification or excuse in law, and instead constitute a gross abuse of governmental authority and power, shock the conscience, are fundamentally unfair, arbitrary and oppressive, and are unjustifiable as a means of conducting any activity in which governmental officers may appropriately and legally undertake in the course of protecting persons or property, or ensuring civil order.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter a judgment including:

- a. General damages, special damages, and compensatory damages in an amount according to proof;
- b. Punitive damages according to proof against Defendant Doe only;
- c. Civil penalties as provided by law;
- d. Attorneys' fees and other allowable costs of suit under California Civ. Code § 52.1;
- e. Legal interest on all damages awards from the date of judicial demand until paid;
- f. And for such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.

1	1 Resp	ectfully submitted,			
2	r ,	ichael R. Marrinan			
3	3	HAEL R. MARRINAN rney for Plaintiff			
4		ney for Frameiri			
5	.) []	eseph M. McMullen EPH M. McMULLEN			
6		rney for Plaintiff			
7	7				
8	8				
9	9 DEMAND FOR HIDY TRIAL	O DENICH TOTAL			
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL & BENCH TRIAL  District respectfully demands a large Trial in this Court as to the Bissur course of				
11	Plaintiff respectfully demands a Jury Trial in this Court as to the <i>Bivens</i> cause of				
12	action against Defendant Doe only (Count 5) and a bench trial in this Court as to each FTCA cause of action against Defendant United States of America (Counts 1 through 4).  Respectfully submitted,				
13					
14		ectiony submitted,			
15	5 Dated: April 4, 2022 /s/M	ichael R. Marrinan			
16	0	HAEL R. MARRINAN			
17		ney for Plaintiff			
18	O	oseph M. McMullen			
19		EPH M. McMULLEN rney for Plaintiff			
20	0				
21	1				
22	2				
23	3				
24	4				
25	5				
26					
20	0				
27					