1	ATTORNEYS AT LAW					
2	100 North Stone Avenue, Suite 901					
3	Tucson, Arizona 85701-1526 Telephone: (520) 622-7494					
4	Facsimile: (520) 624-5583					
	WILLIAM J. RISNER, ESQ.					
5	State Bar Number: 002257 Pima County Bar Number: 48228					
6	<u>bill@risnerandgraham.com</u>					
7	KENNETH K. GRAHAM, ESQ.					
8	State Bar Number: 007069 Pima County Bar Number: 21588					
9	kk@risnerandgraham.com					
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT				
11	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA					
12		C				
13	ANGEL MENDIVIL PEREZ,	Case No.:				
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT				
15	VS.	(Federal Tort Claims Act and "Bivens" Claim)				
16	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA					
17	AND AN UNKNOWN AGENT OF	Assigned to:				
18	THE U.S. BORDER PATROL	Honorable				
19	Defendants.					
20						
21	Plaintiff alleges:					
22	1. This Court has jurisdiction to hear this Complaint pursuant to 28					
23	U.S.C. § 1346 (b)(1). Venue is proper within the District of Arizona pursuant to					
24	28 U.S.C. § 1402 (b) because acts referred to herein occurred within the District					
25	of Arizona or because the plaintiff resides in this judicial district.					
26						
27						

1 2

2. This case is also brought alternatively pursuant to Bivens and the Fourth

and Fifth Amendments to the United States Constitution. See *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388(1971) and the Federal Tort Claims Act. The Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S. § 1331. The name or specific identity of the defendant agent is not known nor has it been disclosed to plaintiff.

- 3. The plaintiffs Angel Mendivil Perez is a citizen of the United State residing in Tucson, Arizona. The acts complained of herein were committed by an employee of the United States of America while acting within the scope of his office or appointment.
- 4. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2675(a) the plaintiffs mailed a claim by Certified or Registered mail to the U.S. Customs and Border Protection and the Department of Homeland Security. The claim was received on January 15, 2020 and by the Department of Homeland Security on January 16, 2020 by U.S. Customs and Border Protection. Plaintiff has not received a formal denial of his claim and more than six months has passed. The Border Patrol is an agency within the U.S. Customs and Border Protection agency, which itself is located within the Department of Homeland Security.
- 5. On or about February 7, 2019 while driving a vehicle from Nogales, Arizona into the Republic of Mexico at the DeConcini Port of Entry, Angel Mendivil Perez was shot in the back of his head by an employee agent of the United States believed to have been employed by the U.S. Customs and Border Protection agency.

1	6. On information and belief Mr. Mendivil had been requested by the		
2	government agent to produce his driver's license to the agent when instead of		
3	doing so Mr. Mendivil drove away intending to cross into Mexico, whereupon		
4	the unknown		
5			
6	Border Patrolman promptly fired his pistol at Mr. Mendivil which bullet passed		
7	through the back window of the vehicle into the top of his head.		
8			
9	7. The defendants' action in using deadly force against Mr. Mendivil		
10	was unreasonable and excessive and was unnecessary to defend against bodily		
11	injury or deadly force. The agent and or officers acted intentionally with the		
12	specific purpose of causing harm or death to Mr. Mendivil without legal		
13	justification.		
14			
15	8. Defendants acted under color of law.		
16			
17	9. The firing of the shot was a battery committed in Arizona without		
18	justification.		
19			
20	10. After being shot in his head and rendered unconscious, Mr.		
21	Mendivil's vehicle continued into the Republic of Mexico where, it struck a		
22	barrier before passing any Mexican border officials.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

1
2
3
4
·
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

11. Mr. Mendivil, due to his head injury, has no memory of the shooting. He has not been able to obtain information of the precise location of the shooter or of his vehicle at the point of impact. Therefore, he has filed this claim alternatively. What is certain is that he was struck prior to entry into Mexican jurisdiction or encountering any Mexican officials.

12. The Unknown Border Patrol Agent was acting within the scope of his employment thereby giving rise to liability of the United States, pursuant to the principles of respondeat superior and by act of Congress pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§ 1346.

13. Although believed to be dead at the scene Mr. Mendivil survived and has severe permanent injuries proximately caused by the bullet to his brain. He has suffered general and special damages and will continue to do so in the future for the permanent injuries.

14. Mr. Mendivil had been entering Mexico to take groceries to his pregnant fiancé who is now his wife and mother of his daughter.

COUNT ONE

CAUSE OF ACTION

15. The foregoing allegations are re-alleged and incorporated here by reference.

16. Plaintiff requests monetary damages including punitive damages pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act.

1			
2	17.	At the time of shooting defendants were not in danger of bodily	
3	harm from	Mr. Mendivil.	
4			
5	18.	In shooting Mr. Mendivil defendants acted intentionally and used	
6	unreasonab	le and excessive force with the purpose of causing harm to Mr.	
7	Mendivil without legal justification.		
8			
9	19.	This claim is brought against all defendants.	
10			
11		COUNT TWO	
12		VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT	
13			
14	20.	The foregoing allegations are re-alleged and incorporated herein by	
15	reference.		
16			
17	21.	Defendants' actions violated the Fourth Amendment's prohibition	
18	against seizures with excessive and unreasonable force.		
19			
20	22.	This claim is brought against all defendants.	
21			
22		COUNT THREE	
23		VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH AMENDMENT	
24			
25	23.	The foregoing allegations are re-alleged and incorporated herein by	
26	reference.		
27			

1	24. At the time Mr. Mendivil was shot, defendants were not in danger	
2	of fatal or bodily harm from Mr. Mendivil or anyone else.	
3		
4	25. In shooting Mr. Mendivil defendants acted intentionally	
5	maliciously, and used unreasonable and excessive force, with the purpose to	
6	cause harm to Mr. Mendivil without legal justification. Defendants' actions	
7	were unnecessary to achieve any legitimate law enforcement objectives.	
8		
9	26. Defendants' actions were grossly excessive and deliberately	
10	indifferent and shocked the conscience, in violation of the substantive due	
11	process component of the Fifth Amendment.	
12		
13	27. This claim is brought against all defendants.	
14		
15	JURY DEMAND	
16	Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action of his claims triable by jury.	
17		
18	<u>RELIEF</u>	
19	Wherefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests relief as follows:	
20	28. A declaration that Defendants actions violated the Constitution.	
21		
22	29. Trial by jury.	
23		
24	30. Damages, including special, general and punitive damages, in ar amount to be proven at trial.	
25		
26	31. Damages alternatively pursuant to the Federal Tort Claims Act.	
27		

1	32. Costs and reasonable attorney fees.
2	
3	33. Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
4	
5	DATED this 4th day of February, 2021.
6	
7	RISNER & GRAHAM
8	
9	By /s/ William J. Risner William J. Risner
10	Attorney for Plaintiffs
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Civil Cover Sheet

This automated JS-44 conforms generally to the manual JS-44 approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974. The data is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. The information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law. This form is authorized for use <u>only</u> in the District of Arizona.

The completed cover sheet must be printed directly to PDF and filed as an attachment to the Complaint or Notice of Removal.

The United Sttes of America and an

Defendant(s): Unknown Agent of the U.S. Border

Patrol

County of Residence: Pima County of Residence: Outside the State of Arizona

County Where Claim For Relief Arose: Santa Cruz

Plaintiff(s): Angel Mendivil Perez

Plaintiff's Atty(s): Defendant's Atty(s):

William J Risner Attorney General

Risner & Graham

100 N. Stone Ave, Ste 508

Tucson, Arizona 85701

U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530-0001

5206227494 202-514-2000

II. Basis of Jurisdiction: 2. U.S. Government Defendant

III. Citizenship of Principal Parties (Diversity Cases Only)

Plaintiff:-1 Citizen of This State

Defendant:- N/A

IV. Origin: 1. Original Proceeding

V. Nature of Suit: 360 Other Personal Injury

VI.Cause of Action: 28 U.S.C.§ 2346 Border Patrolman shot Plaintiff in the head while he was

crossing at Nogales, AZ into Mexico

VII. Requested in Complaint

Class Action: **No**Dollar Demand:
Jury Demand: **Yes**

VIII. This case is not related to another case.

2/4/2021 Case 4:21-cv-00051-Entitle///www.catumaconts.go/l/cgiFilmedne2at/0c4/i2jk44.Frage 2 of 2

Signature: /s/ William J. Risner

Date: 2/4/2021

If any of this information is incorrect, please go back to the Civil Cover Sheet Input form using the *Back* button in your browser and change it. Once correct, save this form as a PDF and include it as an attachment to your case opening documents.

Revised: 01/2014